





Kashechewan Evacuation: Kids With Rash Will Be Removed From Community

21 March 2016

Children covered in sores and rashes in an Ontario First Nation are the face of a much broader health crisis faced by aboriginal communities across the country, says **Charlie Angus**, the NDP indigenous affairs critic.

Angus joined ministerial officials and aboriginal leaders for a conference call Monday to discuss why some children in **Kashechewan First Nation** have developed **unusual rashes** and, in extreme cases, painful sores on their bodies.

The call came after images of the children were shared widely on social media over the weekend.

"The pictures of those children were so shocking and so heartbreaking that it woke Canadians up across the country," Angus said.

"They were saying 'what the hell is happening in our country that children are getting sick like this?' These children really are the face of a much larger systemic crisis that is facing northern First Nation communities."

Angus, whose riding includes the long-troubled reserve, said three children have been evacuated from the community while another 13 are expected to be removed by officials for further examination and possible treatment.

The children are expected to be transported out of the community by Tuesday.

Doctors are also expected to be sent into the community to conduct door-to-door visits and determine if other children are developing similar symptoms, a government official said Monday.

"What the physicians are doing is trying to do some supplementary follow-up work by visiting the homes with the community health workers to see if there's other children they have missed or are there certain prevailing health conditions that might contribute to skin conditions," said **Keith Conn**, an acting assistant deputy minister for regional operations in the Health Department's First Nations and Inuit branch.

Health Minister **Jane Philpott** also addressed the Kashechewan cases outside the Commons on Monday, where she noted that the children in the community are not suffering from a water-related condition.

"It is our understanding that that is not the case," Philpott said.

"In fact, the water has been tested as recently as last Tuesday and we know that it meets all of the appropriate standards for safety and drinking water and water to be used for other purposes."

Philpott said she could not speak to specifics due to confidentiality concerns but stressed that all children who require care will be evacuated if necessary.

One possible cause of skin lesions is an infectious condition, the minister added.

"The health conditions that we are seeing in First Nations communities like Kashechewan and the very serious and concerning gaps in health outcomes are not new, unfortunately," she said.

"It is a sad reality. It is a reality that we are facing front on, that I am working with my officials in Health Canada to address."

Nishnawbe Aski Nation Grand Chief Alvin Fiddler said the situation in Kashechewan speaks to why northern Ontario First Nations leaders decided to declare a public health emergency last month.

Fiddler, who was also on Monday's conference call, said he heard a message from officials focused only on short-term problems.

He said the government needs to also tackle broader systemic issues, such as a lack of clean drinking water, proper housing and possible mould issues, to determine why health problems are plaguing reserves.

"It was good to hear government officials commit to getting these children out for an assessment and hopefully treatment," Fiddler said.

"We also need to look at the longer term... some of the determinants of health: housing, water, and education, everything else that contributes to the health and well-being of our families."

Fiddler also said he is still waiting to hear from Philpott in response to the public health emergency.

"Here we are a month after our declaration was issued and we are still trying to confirm meetings with the federal health minister," he said. "Meanwhile, things are deteriorating."

When the emergency was declared, the **Ontario First Nation leaders** called on governments to respond within 90 days and to meet the chiefs to develop a detailed intervention plan.

During question period on Monday, **Prime Minister Justin Trudeau** signaled Tuesday's budget will contain "historic" investments to address indigenous issues.

The fiscal blueprint is largely expected to be a litmus test of the government's commitment to tackling long-standing challenges including housing, drinking water and education.

Trudeau has maintained no relationship is more important to him than the one with Aboriginal Peoples.

Trudeau Claims His 30 Billion Dollar Deficit Budget will Create 100,000 Jobs...



THAT'S \$300,000 PER JOB!!

The broken promises budget

By Anthony Furey
22 March 2016

You call this sunny ways? Multiple broken promises and a whopping increase to the debt? Yikes. If what was released Tuesday is Prime Minister Justin Trudeau's happy feel-good budget, I really hope he never subjects us to the dark-skies version.

The **first big broken promise** is one we knew to expect a while back, and that was to keep to deficits under \$10 billion for the first two years of the mandate.

Instead the Liberals almost tripled that number - hitting deficits of \$29.4 billion and \$29 billion.

Would Canadians have voted them in if they'd campaigned on steeper numbers? Would voters have given the Liberals a majority mandate if they'd said in advance what we've now learned: that they're projecting to add a total of \$118.6 billion to the debt over the next six years?

Here's **another major pledge they've broken**: "After the next two fiscal years," the Liberal platform reads, "the deficit will decline and our investment plan will return Canada to a balanced budget in 2019."

They actually said they'd ring in a \$1 billion surplus that year. Instead the budget puts us a further \$17.7 billion in the hole.

Here's **a third whopper of a broken promise**: They planned to bring the debt-to-GDP down every year of their mandate. This was their way of proving that even if they were going into deficit, they were still technically improving our fiscal health. It was a good promise.

Instead the plan is for it to go up for a couple years then down a bit after, but still coming in a few points higher at the end than they promised.

Two years ago Trudeau notoriously said that if you commit to growing the economy "the budget will balance itself." Well Tuesday's budget was his first shot at turning this theory into practice. And he failed.

The budget projections show red ink as far as the eye can see. The final projected year pegs the country's coffers at a still considerable deficit of \$14.3 billion.

Trudeau and his cabinet held a considerable amount of goodwill with the public. The honeymoon period arguably still lingered. But when Canadians learn what the PM's doing with their money and just how much debt he's planning to leave future generations, they may just decide things aren't so sunny after all.

Trudeau's first budget: Welcome to a decade of deficits

Politics Briefing

By John Ibbitson
22 March 2016

You have to be in your sixties to remember the last time a federal finance minister took the books out of balance even though there was no recession. So maybe there are only a few old codgers left who await today's **Edgar Benson** budget in quiet dread.

Yes, yes, **Bill Morneau** is the finance minister, not Edgar Benson, who was Pierre Trudeau's first finance minister. But the similarities are uncanny.

As you know, the Liberals pledged to finance their many spending commitments through a small federal deficit of no more than \$10-billion in the first year. But "at this point in time that statement is no longer operative."

Ah, **Ron Zeigler**, the infamous aide who explained away the many mendacities of the Nixon administration. Mr. Zeigler was Richard Nixon's press secretary when Mr. Benson was Pierre Trudeau's finance minister. Like his son, Pierre Trudeau had ambitious spending plans – he called his the **Just Society** – that couldn't be met with the revenues at hand. And so even though the economy wasn't in recession, Mr. Trudeau had Mr. Benson introduce a modest deficit in the 1971 federal budget. And that was that.

The nation's finances became a dreary succession of headlines: "John Turner quits in disgust as Trudeau's finance minister." "Joe Clark vows budget will tackle deficit." "Clark government defeated over budget." "Mulroney government promises to finally rein in deficit." "Mulroney government fails to rein in deficit." "Chrétien confronts debt crisis."

Between 1995 and 1997, finance minister **Paul Martin** finally, painfully brought the budget back into balance. If you're in your mid-thirties or older you'll remember the overcrowded waiting rooms, overcrowded classes, deteriorating roads, increased homelessness, layoffs in the public service, public servants' strikes, and on and on. Yet bad as it was – and it was awful – by the early 2000s the federal and provincial governments were back in the black, paying down debt and reinvesting in hospitals and schools.

But man is born into sin. Provincial governments began running deficits in order to finance "strategic investments," which is always how it starts. The Harper government reluctantly fought the 2008-09 recession with deficit spending; it took half a decade of painful cuts to get the books back into the black. (Some will say that a deteriorating economy would have created a deficit this year regardless of who was in charge, but anyone who knows Stephen Harper knows he would have ordered his finance minister to balance the books this year no matter how much blood had to flow.)

And now, for the first time since 1971, a federal finance minister is taking the budget out of balance even though there is no recession. Then, it took two-and-a-half decades to bring things back under control. Maybe we should start a pool on how long it will take this time. If you remember Edgar Benson, don't join, because you might not live to collect.

Total Failure of Multiculturalism in Sweden: A Factual Exposition

By Clare Ellis
23 March 2016

The following is a factual breakdown of the costs of Sweden's program of cultural enrichment and demographic change through large-scale immigration, multiculturalism, and refugee quotas.

Immigration into Sweden

First, keep in mind that the population of Sweden is less than 10 million people. Between 1998 and 2015 net immigration steadily increased from under 11,000 to over 78,000 per year.

In 1960 the number of foreign-born citizens in Sweden was almost 300,000 and today this number has increased 500% to over 1.6 million people or 17% of the total population.

In 2014 the amount of residents with a foreign background in Sweden, which includes foreign-born and Swedish-born with two foreign-born parents, amounted to over 2 million people or 21.5% of the population. In 2015 this number had increased to 22.2%. This means that nearly one quarter of Sweden's population is currently of foreign background. If trends continue, within 15 years foreigners in Sweden will make up nearly 1/3 of the Swedish population.

According to Statistics Sweden: In 2014...one-fifth of the persons aged 25-64 were born abroad. This percentage is expected to increase to one-fourth in 2020, and close to one-third in 2030. As the years go by, the percentage of foreign born persons of older ages will increase and in 2060, an estimated one-fourth of those who are age 80 and older will have been born abroad.

The majority of foreigners in Sweden come from outside of Europe, specifically Africa and Western Asia. The four top countries of origin for non-EU foreign-born citizens are Iraq, Iran, Turkey, and Somalia. Immigrants from Lebanon, Syria, and Afghanistan are also top-sources. All of these countries are Muslim-majority nations.

In 1960 there were only 348 immigrants from Muslim majority countries but fifty years later Muslims made up between 5-10% of the Swedish population or more, amounting between 500,000 and 1+ million people. Today, due to ongoing immigration from the MENA region, as well as illegal migration and the 2015 'migrant crisis' influx of young Muslim males, their numbers are much higher.

Low Employment Levels of Immigrants

The majority of immigrants in Sweden are not economic migrants who have secured a job in Sweden before arrival. Only 14.3% arrive in Sweden as labour

market immigrants. Most immigrants (68.4%) enter Sweden as refugees or under family reunification policies.

Most often, refugees and next of kin migrants lack relevant skill sets (such as higher education and language ability) to successfully integrate into the labour market, which is a high-skilled, knowledge and service-driven economy. As such, 48% of working-age immigrants in Sweden do not work and 42% are long-term unemployed.

But lucky for them, Sweden offers generous free housing and social welfare benefits.

Welfare Costs

The foreign-born population of Sweden uses over two-thirds of government financial assistance. This means that the cost of financially assisting the foreign-born population is ten times more than for real ethnic Swedes. The foreign-born population receives over 950 million CDN\$ per year whereas the Swedish-born - 83.5% of the total population - receives around 320 million CDN\$ per year.

In Sweden's third largest city, Malmö, foreign-born residents account for over 50% of the population and over 75% are receiving welfare benefits.

Over the years, numerous public figures in Sweden have stated that mass-immigration is threatening the welfare system: In 2010 Swedish **Social Democrat Chairman Henrik Sass Larsen** stated that: "We do not want to sacrifice the welfare state in the name of humanism... the welfare state is a society built on the principles of freedom, equality and solidarity. Mass immigration...will undermine the economic and social foundation of the welfare state."

In 2013 **Immigration Minister Tobias Billström** said immigrants "are coming to households where the only income is support from the municipality. Is that reasonable?"

In 2015 economic expert **Tino Sanandaji** explained that open borders can't be combined with a welfare state: "If you're offering generous welfare benefits to every citizen, and anyone can come and use these benefits, then a very large number of people will try to do that. And it's just mathematically impossible for a small country like Sweden to fund those benefits."

High Levels of Crime

Open border policies are not only crippling the Swedish welfare state, they are also creating unprecedented criminal problems and associated financial costs for Sweden and its people.

Since 1975, when Sweden introduced mass-immigration, there has been a rapid rise in crime. Violent crime has risen 300% and reported rapes have risen 1472%. First and second generation immigrants are over-represented in both these statistics.

Today, Sweden has the second highest number of reported rapes in the world: there are 53.2 reported rapes per 100,000 people. In less than ten years the number of reported rapes almost tripled, from 2200 in 2003 to over 6000 in 2010. Between 2002 and 2012 reported rapes and gang rapes against children under 15 doubled.

In 2002, 85% of those sentenced to 2 or more years for rape were foreign-born or second generation immigrants. The Crime Prevention Council in Sweden reports that the overwhelming majority of foreign-born rapists are from Muslim majority countries.

Sexual assaults by foreigners in Sweden are increasing every year. Just recently eight sexual attacks against girls and women by 'foreign men' in just three weeks in Östersund, a town of 44,000, has led police to warn women not to go out alone at night. **County police commissioner Stephen Jerand**, said "The situation is tense. We have never experienced anything like this before. It is almost unreal."

Ethnic Enclaves

Government-mandated multicultural policies in Sweden have actively encouraged and funded immigrants to remain distinct peoples and have led to the fracturing of Swedish society. A new law that was implemented in 2010, the content of which was already encouraged for many years, enforces immigrant separatism: The preservation and development of the cultural and social life of ethnic, linguistic and religious minorities should be promoted.

Essentially, this view and its enforcement by law has led to the formation of multiple parallel societies or ethnic enclaves made up of first, second, and third generation immigrants with different cultural values and ways of life that often conflict with Swedish norms, society, and the legal system.

Many ethnic enclaves are referred to as "exclusion areas" or **no-go zones**, parts of Sweden characterized by low levels of employment and high levels of crime, including bomb and hand grenade attacks. The number of these areas grew every year. In 1990 there were three exclusion areas, in 2004 there were 136, and in 2006 there were 186.

In Stockholm, the capital of Sweden, there are over 20 such no-go zones constituting around 75,000 people. Swedish authorities have effectively lost control in these areas - they are persistently attacked by gangs of immigrants when they attempt to provide public aid such as police, fire fighting and

ambulance services. Journalists are also being attacked. Businesses are leaving these areas and even bus services have had to be suspended due to the risk of violence.

According to a recent police report, around 55 of these "exclusion areas" function as parallel communities and justice systems governed by **Islamic Sharia law and criminal gangs**. They are characterized by high unemployment, low education, high levels of crime, and **Muslim radicalization**.

Fire and ambulance services require police escorts to enter these areas due to the violence directed against them: ranging from physical assaults, theft, and muggings, to damage to service vehicles and equipment. The Ambulance Union has requested military-grade protection gear to enter these places, such as bullet proof vests and helmets.

Police are also attacked by Muslim gangs, most often rocks are thrown, but sometimes fireworks, Molotov cocktails, and bombs are used. The escalation of violence in these areas, including gun-related crimes, have forced police to use shatter-proof glass on their vehicles and to use back-up; one police unit responding to a complaint requires a second police unit for protection.

Immigrant Riots

There have been a multitude of **violent immigrant riots** in Sweden that cost millions of dollars in damage and resources. Just some examples of many: In 2013 hundreds of Muslim immigrants rampaged through parts of Stockholm, Gothenburg and Malmö for several days, torching cars and buses, setting fires to buildings - including a police station and schools, smashing windows, vandalizing property, and hurling rocks and bottles at police, rescue and fire services.

In 2015 violent racial unrest between immigrants erupted in Stockholm and Malmö involving bombs, guns, and hand grenade attacks between Turkish and Kurdish and other ethnic minority immigrants.

Migrants setting cars on fire has also become a tradition that has escalated year by year in Sweden: in 1996 police responded to 219 car fires, in 2006 to 964, and in 2013 to 1372 (one response can mean 10 cars are on fire).

Not only are immigrant enclaves hotbeds of crime and riots, asylum centers in Sweden are too: Since 2015 a **Swedish National Criminal Investigation report** revealed that there had been a huge and rapid surge in asylum crime. More than 5000 incidents of crime at asylum centers were committed within only a period of three months (between October 2015 and the beginning of January 2016), including two bomb threats, 4 rapes, 600 assaults, 450 fights, 194 violent threats, 58 fires, and 9 robberies.

There have been several riots in Swedish asylum centers. For example, nineteen youth in a Swedish refugee center for unaccompanied children in Lindås rioted, attacked staff with weapons and caused massive damage to their housing and in a refugee center in the town of Ljusne in Sweden, 15 Afghans were involved in a violent brawl that left one man dead and three injured.

The surge in gang-related immigrant crime and riots, the rise of crime by migrants and asylum seekers, asylum center unrest and riots, the threat of Islamic terrorism, Muslim radicalization, and problems with border controls have stretched police resources, whose capability to deal with these issues is often too little and ineffective. **National Police Commissioner Dan Eliasson** recently demanded an extra 4100 police officers and civilian employees for the police and a budget increase of between \$285 million and \$444 million because the "migrant situation means a significantly higher workload for the police."

Costs of Asylum Seekers

On top of the huge financial costs of the foreign-born population in terms of welfare and crime, asylum seekers are also taxing Sweden's financial resources.

For several decades Sweden used to accept less than 30,000 refugees a year. In 2014 Sweden received just over 81,000 asylum applications, the majority of which were from the Middle East and North Africa. In 2015, 162,877 people sought asylum in Sweden, which is over double from the year before and over 8 times the amount at the turn of the century.

An IMF report published at the beginning of this year (2016) addressed the economic impact the surge in refugees had for Europe; it provided tentative proposals for the budgetary expenses of the costs of asylum seekers for EU member countries by % of their GDP: for Sweden the asylum budgets will have to increase to around \$60 million, which is more than three times the amount in 2014.

In January 2016 the Swedish government announced it would expel between 60,000 and 80,000 migrants who arrived in 2015 and had their asylum applications rejected. **Economist Jan Tullberg**, who teaches at Stockholm University, estimated how much just one year's worth of refugees (103,000) will cost Sweden over their lifetime. Processing costs for one year of the accepted refugees will amount to around 2.5 billion CDN\$.

As the average time it takes for a new arrival to enter the workforce is about 8 years, if all 103,000 new arrivals did not enter the labour force for 8 years then the cost would amount to almost 21 billion dollars. For one year of open-door policies it will cost almost 96 billion CDN\$ over the lifetime for the 2015 new arrivals, which is 14 times more than the cost of Swedish Defence for one year.

What of the costs for those asylum seekers who have had their applications rejected? On top of welfare and housing costs for the asylum seekers waiting for their applications to be accepted, once rejected it will cost around \$48,000 to deport one person. This means, for Sweden, that for the 60,000 rejected asylum applications in 2015 it will cost almost 3 billion dollars to deport them.

Prior to 2015 Sweden was accepting around 500-1000 underage and unaccompanied migrants per year. In 2015 Sweden accepted more than 35,000 unaccompanied migrant youth, most of whom were teenagers and came from Afghanistan. They require special housing (24/7 care) and cost between 500-1000 CDN\$ per day, with some cases costing over 2400 CDN\$ per day. This means that to take care of the 35,000 migrant youth that arrived as refugees in 2015 it costs Sweden more than 26 million CDN\$ per day or more than 9.5 billion CDN\$ a year. An overwhelming number of 'youths' are actually "frauds."

No Dissent Allowed

In 2003, when immigration levels were around 29,000/yr, 57% of Swedes thought immigration levels were too high and wanted immigration numbers reduced to more sustainable levels. 58% of Swedes think the same today. However, all mainstream political parties are for continued large scale immigration and large refugee intakes and since 2003 the Swedish government has increased immigration levels by almost three times more - to around 75,000 per year in addition to the 100,000 accepted refugees in 2015.

If a person publicly questions the mainstream narrative and top-down practice of mass-immigration and refugee intakes that are altering Sweden from the outside in and the multicultural policies that are altering it from the inside out, then they are likely to be called xenophobic, fascist, racist or Nazi. In fact, critics of immigration are being hunted down, exposed on television, and intimidated "in a sort of 21st century televised witch-hunt." And on January 1st 2015 a new law was enacted that allows for the criminal prosecution of anyone who is critical of immigration.

In effect, out of fear of arrest and out of fear of being intimidated and publicly shamed by their hostile elites, dissenting Swedes are being silenced into submission.

Liberals shift

**immigration focus to family reunification, refugee resettlement
Plan seeks to admit up to 305,000 new immigrants in 2016, McCallum says**

By Susana Mas, CBC News
8 March 2016

Canada will seek to admit a record number of immigrants as the Liberal government shifts its focus on family reunification and the settlement of refugees, says **Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Minister John McCallum**.

"This plan sends a message about the importance of family," McCallum said in Brampton, Ont., on Tuesday.

"It outlines a significant shift in immigration policy towards reuniting more families, building our economy and upholding Canada's humanitarian traditions to resettle refugees and offer protection to those in need."

McCallum said **Canada will admit between 280,000 and 305,000 new permanent residents in 2016**, a record increase from the 260,000 to 285,000 newcomers the previous Conservative government had planned to welcome by the end of 2015.

The Liberal plan will see Canada admit:

151,200 to 162,400 caregivers, provincial nominees, and other skilled workers under the economic stream.

75,000 to 82,000 spouses, partners, children, parents and grandparents of Canadians under the family reunification plan.

51,000 to 57,000 refugees, protected persons and others admitted for humanitarian reasons.

The Liberal plan also includes admitting 18,000 privately sponsored refugees, "three times more than in earlier years," McCallum said.

The government has resettled some 25,000 Syrians, a mix of government-assisted and privately sponsored refugees, in four months. The Liberals have also pledged to resettle another 10,000 government-assisted Syrian refugees by the end of 2016.

Reviewing sponsorship conditions

McCallum said the government will review some of the conditions imposed on Canadians looking to sponsor their children and spouses living overseas, making family reunification a priority.

"The government of Canada will make family reunification an important priority because when families are able to stay together, their integration to Canada and ability to work and grow their communities all improve," McCallum said in a much-anticipated report tabled in Parliament on Tuesday.

"We will work to restore the maximum age for dependants to 22 from 19 and re-examine the two-year conditional permanent residence provision for sponsored spouses."

In its annual report to Parliament, the Liberal government is also pledging to:

Eliminate the \$1,000 labour market impact assessment (LMIA) fee for families looking to hire caregivers for family members with physical and mental disabilities. An LMIA is a document employers must file to prove the need to hire a foreign worker over a Canadian one.

Review the express entry system launched in January 2015 "to provide more opportunities" for applicants who have Canadian siblings.

"Expand and monitor the use of biometrics" to verify the identity of all temporary and permanent residents who need a visa or permit to enter Canada.

Fewer economic immigrants

Conservative immigration critic **Michelle Rempel** denounced the government's decision to admit fewer economic immigrants.

"These cuts to economic immigration come at a time when our workforce is aging, our economy is slowing, and refugees are waiting for months to have long-term affordable housing," Rempel said during question period.

She said the government's changes to the caregivers program would "leave the most vulnerable Canadians without care."

While the Liberals have doubled the cap to 10,000 parent and grandparent sponsorship applications, they hope to issue up to 20,000 visas — a target that remains unchanged from last year.

NDP immigration critic **Jenny Kwan** said she is "disappointed" to see the level for parents and grandparents sponsorship stay the same as it was under the Conservatives.

"With the doubling of applications and the same level as the Conservatives, we can expect the wait times to get even worse," Kwan said in a written statement to CBC News.

The Liberals have budgeted an additional \$25 million to reduce application processing times in 2016-17, followed by an additional \$50 million a year for the next three years.

Some 'significant barriers' remain

The government has also committed to reviewing **express entry** but immigration lawyer **Mark Holthe** said there is little in the report to suggest there will be significant changes for international students or temporary foreign workers who were hit the hardest by the new system launched by the previous Conservative government in 2015.

"There was token lip service to the value of each of these groups within Canadian immigration policy, but in the end, nothing was done to address the significant barriers they face in qualifying for permanent resident status in Canada," said Holthe, a partner at the law firm of Holthe Tilleman in Calgary.

According to the annual immigration report tabled in Parliament Tuesday, "Canada's immigration system balances compassion with economic opportunity."

Holthe said he would like the new plan to provide "equal chance at immigration for temporary foreign workers, international students, family members and refugees alike."

The Department of Immigration plans to spend \$1.7 billion in 2015-16, up from \$1.3 billion in 2014-15. The increase is attributed to the Liberals' commitment to fast-track the resettlement of 25,000 Syrian refugees.

Planned spending is forecast at \$1.6 billion in 2016-17.

Canadian PM Trudeau: Overcome Islamic Terrorism With 'Openness' And 'Respect'

By: Harry Khachatryan
7 March 2016

In advance of his trip to meet **President Barack Obama** in America's capital city, **Prime Canadian Minister Justin Trudeau** conducted an interview with **CBS's Lara Logan** for **60 Minutes** which aired on Sunday. Pushing aside substance for the superficial, the interview promoted the Liberal Prime Minister as a paragon of virtue and nobility. Besides reminiscing over nostalgic video of the Trudeau family, the interview touched on several issues, including the Syrian refugee crisis.

On the topic of the 25,000 Syrian refugees recently admitted into Canada by the Liberal government, Trudeau was asked about possible associated security risks related to Islamic terrorism.

Logan pressed Trudeau on national security.

"Would you be just as comfortable if there was a terrorist attack carried out by someone who came in as a refugee?" Logan asked.

"Ultimately, being open and respectful towards each other is much more powerful as a way to defuse hatred and anger, than layering on big walls and oppressive policies," replied Trudeau.

Shining a bright light on the liberal fantasy land he lives in, Trudeau's response frames the motivations of Canada's and America's shared enemies as responsive to insufficient openness and respect. The hatred and anger of our enemies, in the mind of Trudeau, can be defeated with love and kindness.

Progressive leftists like Trudeau and Obama believe that savage radical Muslim terrorists intent on destroying our culture and civilization are an oppressed proletariat, victimized by Western imperialism and colonialism. Thus, all of their malice and ill-intent are — as Trudeau suggested — vindicated and can be defused by our openness and respect. This is why he's chosen to withdraw Canada from bombing ISIS, to release economic sanctions on the Iranian regime, and why he believes that national security and protected borders are not worthwhile concerns.

Ending off the interview, Trudeau was asked about the United States.

"I just felt like it might be nice if they paid a little more attention to the world. Having a little more awareness of what's going on in the rest of the world — is what many Canadians would hope for Americans."

Editorial Comment

Yeah - overcome with 'Openness' And 'Respect' till they slit your throat Mr. Trudeau.

Yup. That's what we elected here in Canada. It's absolutely pathetic. He only got 38% of the vote though, which isn't often enough to form a majority in parliament but happened to be this time, so he's using this majority to shove through voter reform which in other countries has absolutely guaranteed the dominance of left wing populism.

Only a small percentage of people actually voted for him, but he's totally drunk with the power.

The media, unions and leftist eco groups made **Prime Minister Harper** out to be evil incarnate even though under him Canada was prosperous. Now, just over 100 days into his mandate, he's well under way to killing Canada's economy and our standing among our NATO allies. Beware folks, this is what happens when voters vote for a nice smile and great hair without once questioning why a guy who never graduated college and who's only jobs in life were camp counselor, snowboard instructor and substitute drama teacher.

He's been on vacation twice in 3 months work and loves Islam more than Canadians. He's our very own Obama! Yes, unfortunately idiots can vote and this is the result.

Trudeau ever the idiot. The pattern of Muslim immigration has been the same everywhere in the world for centuries. The outcome is dictated by the Qu'ran and is and will always be the same. Trudeau is either one of the most naive adults in the world or is an out and out Muslim convert with the usual Islamic agenda.

There is even a video of him dressed as a Muslim, in a Mosque, reciting the Shahada, the prayer that is recited for converting to Islam.

Canada cannot be trusted to be safe with such a naive person in charge. Undesirables will make great headway with Little Pierre screwing things up beyond belief. Vets are rolling over in their graves these days.

This kind of lukewarm smarminess is the very sort of thing that makes terrorists breathe fire in the anticipation of smiting their enemies. Trudeau can be sure that such faux innocence, such intolerable tolerance, will only add to ISIS's numbers.

There's lots of interest in what goes on here in Canada - just that we are totally disgusted and embarrassed by this pot-smoking, Moslem terrorist butt-kissing, traitorous moron - that got elected by those Moslem terrorists, plus lots of \$\$\$ in payoffs, plus he was put here to do exactly what he is doing - destroying Canada!!! and thereby leaving it wide open for his NWO/ZOG best buddies to do their thing and make this another 3rd world cesspool!!!! (sorry, but I am very angry!!!!)



I wonder if the 1400 plus young girls in Rotherham feel that they should have been more open and accommodating to their Islamic rapists? I wonder if those girls in Cologne feel that if they had been more open and loving towards the Islamic refugees they would not have been attacked. What about the population of failing Sweden maybe they should be more loving to all those lovely refugees they have taken in and provided a place of safety to. Maybe they should all move out of their homes and give them to the refugees then everyone could see how much they are showing the love and tolerance to said refugees. Mainly I wonder when the fuck are our stupidly ignorant left wing politicians going to start taking the concerns of their own populations seriously?

**Trudeau Dumb Answer:
By Bringing Refugees To Canada
We Remove The Threat Of Terrorism In Canada**
7 March 2016

CBS News aired on Sunday, 6 March 2016 an interview with **Prime Minister Justin Trudeau** to **Lara Logan** for **60 Minutes** show.

Trudeau was adamant that resettling 25,000 Syrian refugees serves the national security of Canada. When asked a direct question about a possible scenario of terrorist attack carried out by Syrian refugees, Trudeau argued that the policy of openness and respect towards the refugees is more effective in diffusing elements of hatred and anger and constitutes a better strategy than building “big walls” and espousing “oppressive policies.”

Justin Trudeau: We were able to actually go and pick and choose and screen and bring over the people we chose. And that gives us a much greater level of control and attention over who’s actually going to come in.

Lara Logan: But are you saying there’s no risk? Or do you acknowledge that there is still a risk?

Justin Trudeau: Every time, every time a tourist or an immigrant or a refugee shows up in another country there’s a security risk. And, I am more than comfortable that doing what we’ve done, accepting in 25,000 Syrian refugees does right by both the safety of Canadians and by the values that define us as a nation.

Lara Logan: Would you be just as comfortable if there was a terrorist attack carried out by someone who came through as a refugee?

Justin Trudeau: Ultimately, being open and respectful towards each other is much more powerful as a way to diffuse hatred and anger than, you know, layering on, you know, big walls and oppressive policies.



**Defence spending expected to drop \$400M — despite Liberal
pledge to keep up with Tories: sources**

Murray Brewster, The Canadian Press
8 March 2016

The upcoming federal budget is not expected to commit to a broad increase in military spending, say several defence sources.

In fact, newly tabled fiscal planning documents suggest overall spending on the military could shrink by almost \$400 million in the coming year.

During the election campaign, **Prime Minister Justin Trudeau** promised to maintain the former Conservative government’s defence spending levels and increase funding in 2017, as laid out in last year’s federal budget.

His government has been under pressure from allies to hike what it spends on defence, with both the United States and Britain asking Canada to aim for the NATO spending benchmark of two per cent of GDP.

The demands have become particularly strident in the aftermath of the Paris terrorist attacks last November, which killed 130 people.

Preliminary budget estimates for the coming year show the military is expected to end the year with a budget of just over \$19 billion, but planned spending for fiscal 2016-17 amounts to \$18.64 billion.

National Defence does routinely goes back and top up its budget later in the year, but the amounts vary depending on what is going in the world.

Defence Minister Harjit Sajjan has denied there are spending cuts in the works, citing a Liberal promise to stick with planned annual increases through a budgetary mechanism known as the defence escalator.

The Conservatives used to use a similar line, insisting the military was getting its annual operating increase, only to cut spending elsewhere.

Documents obtained by *The Canadian Press* under the **Access to Information Act** show those annual two per cent increases don’t keep up with inflation and have been more than offset by the previous Harper government’s earlier deficit spending cuts.

Under the previous government, the operating budget would increase by roughly \$300 million per year, but all told some \$2.1 billion ended up coming back into government coffers.

The escalator was introduced by the Conservatives as a way to provide stable and predictable funding to the military, but analysis has shown that inflation in the defence industry runs higher than in the broader economy.

A lot of that is driven by so-called “technological inflation” on high-tech military hardware, which the U.S.-based think-tank Rand Corporation estimates to range from two to five per cent annually.

National Defence is also forced to buy from a limited number of suppliers, which charge a premium.

“The two per cent defence escalator appears to be more or less adequate to compensate for strict economic inflation factors; however, it is not adequate to compensate for other non-economic driven cost inflation factors,” said a memo written for the deputy defence minister on Nov. 12, 2014.

“The two per cent defence escalator does not provide the funding stability that will allow for the successful implementation of the (Canada First Defence Strategy) without access to the accrual envelope for additional funds to offset on-going non-economic cost pressures on the DND budget.”

Conservative defence critic **James Bezan** says at a minimum, the Liberals should honour the promise to maintain the planned escalator increases.

With all of the deficit spending going on in other departments, Bezan admits he’s getting nervous.

“Now that we’re talking a \$30-billion deficit, there’s going to be increased pressure on National Defence to pare back their own funding requirements and that is something I’m going to be watching very closely.”

Syrian Refugees Displacing Canada’s Homeless
7 March 2016

The TABLE below contains a sample of Canadian cities that are accepting Syrian refugees. The TABLE indicates the number of Syrian refugees that each of the cities is taking, the number of Homeless Canadians in each of the cities and the number of Canadians who are on Waiting Lists for Housing in each of those cities.

Quite a number of these figures are very shocking. For example, Toronto had 5219 Homeless and had a Housing Waiting List of 77,109 in 2014. Yet it has taken 4234 Syrian refugees and is now providing housing for them !!!

As we have said before, Toronto politicians are now strutting around the city like arrogant roosters in a barnyard, implying that they should be congratulated for betraying their own citizens. Politicians such as MP’s, MLA’s, Mayors, Councilors and School Trustees are doing the same things in other cities.

Most Canadians would be willing to send money to groups overseas to help Syrians in refugee camps or in the foreign cities where they are living. But most Canadians think it is immoral to give a very limited supply of Canadian housing to Syrians and all other non-Canadians.

Multiply by 10 the betrayal of taking 25,000 Syrian refugees. The answer is 250,000. That has been Canada’s average yearly immigration intake for the past 25 years. Then, Multiply 250,000 by 25. The answer is over 6 million immigrants in those 25 years. Most of these people were unnecessary and most of them are now competing with Canadians for housing and jobs. Obviously, this betrayal is even more outrageous.

The overall point that this TABLE makes is that our federal government has made a choice: It has placed the needs of these Syrians over the needs of Homeless Canadians. This is a shameless betrayal of Canadians and Canada. In the past, many people have twisted in the wind for doing much less harm.

PLACE	POPULATION (MOSTLY IN 2011)	# OF HOMELESS and THOSE USING SHELTERS	CORE NEED / WAITING LIST FOR HOUSING	# OF SYRIAN REFUGE ES
TORONTO	2,615,060	5219	77,109 (2014)	4234
PEEL REGION	1,269,814	1558	12,630 (2014)	410
YORK REGION	1,108,570	2891	10,580 (2014)	152
HAMILTON	569,549	5653	5,553 (2014)	1099
OTTAWA	1,236,324	7235	10,089	1579
WATERLOO REGION	563,000	3492	2719 (2014)	1245

LONDON	366,651	12,000 (using shelters)	2341 (2014)	1275
EDMONTON	877,926	2307	19,000 (2012)	1657
WINNIPEG	730,018	2865-3165	2000	778
REGINA	193,100	232	30,000	446+
SASKATOON	222,189	379	34,000	439-478
CALGARY	1,195,194	3555	3200	1762
VANCOUVER	2,313,328	2777	2800	1681
VICTORIA	344,615	1500	1377	313

QUICK IMMIGRATION FACTS

1. Net Cost of Immigration to Canada: \$35 Billion per year. That amounts to \$95,890,000 per day
2. Number of immigrants coming to Canada --- an average of close to 250,000 per year since 1991. That's 684 per day and 28 per hour. This unnecessary and relentless intake is an abnormality in Canada's immigration history. It has overwhelmed many parts of Canada.
3. Number of Temporary Foreign Workers allowed to work in Canada: A record 491,547 in 2012 (5 times higher than in 2002)!! That number consisted of 213,573 new TFW's in 2012 and 277,974 TFW's already here.
4. From 2009 to 2013, Canada gave refugee status to 122,518 people. That's an average of 24,514 people in each year --- 67 per day. The number of people applying for such status was probably at least twice the 24,514 number.
5. With 336,497 foreign students in Canada, by some estimates paying only one-half their full education cost, they are pushing out Canadians wanting higher education. Adding insult to injury, they compete for jobs with Canadian students because they are entitled to work.
6. Amount of money sent overseas by foreign-born workers in Canada each year (remittances), totaling \$24 Billion a year.

Ottawa exempts Syrian refugees from loan repayment Moved praised by Vancouver advocates, who say it should extend to all refugees

By Peter O'Neil, Vancouver Sun
26 November 2015

The federal Liberal government said Thursday that it will exempt Syrian refugees from a rule that can burden impoverished newcomers with loans of up to \$10,000 upon arrival.

Critics say the government should expand that privilege to all asylum-seekers so they're not forced to pay for the cost of their own flight to freedom.

A statement from Citizenship and Immigration Canada said many fleeing Syrian refugees have "lost everything" and will lack financial resources "for some time" after arriving in Canada.

"Given the extreme and unprecedented hardships faced by this community, Canada is upholding its humanitarian tradition by offering help and protection to those most in need," the statement said. "This includes waiving the issuance and repayment of immigration loans... and covering the cost of immigration medical examinations and transportation loans."

Refugee aid groups were informed by federal officials on Wednesday that the 25,000 Syrian refugees slated to enter Canada by the end of February won't be charged for their flights and medical exams.

They are normally required to cover those costs under a cost-recovery policy in place since 1951.

Two B.C. opposition MPs and refugee advocacy groups endorsed the decision. But they said the Liberals should recognize the charge is an unfair burden for all people fleeing persecution.

"The principle of fairness should apply," said New Democrat **Jenny Kwan**, the MP for Vancouver East.

Refugees typically receive income support at the level of provincial welfare rates, she said, making the loan a huge burden.

Conservative MP **Dianne Watts**, who as Surrey mayor unsuccessfully lobbied the Harper government to waive the charge, also said it didn't go far enough.

"I think it's a bigger issue than just one group of people," Watts, who was speaking as an individual MP and not for the Tory caucus, said in an interview. "I'm glad to hear they're not going to be charged the transportation loan. That's a move in right direction, but that policy needs to apply to all refugees."

Watts said in a 2011 interview while she was mayor that refugee families in Surrey were already facing enormous stress finding housing, employment, and in many cases language-training and psychological counseling.

The added burden of a hefty loan adds to family stress that often results in teenagers in those families becoming ideal recruiting targets for gang leaders, said Watts, who represents South Surrey-White Rock in Parliament.

However, Conservative immigration critic **Michelle Rempel** said the caucus supports the loan and repayment program. She pointed out that there's a 91-per-cent repayment rate, and that there are flexible arrangements for refugees having trouble making payments.

"It's been a successful program."

She added that she's reserving judgment on McCallum's decision on not charging the 25,000 Syrian refugees until she sees more details.

The Canadian Council for Refugees issued a statement that echoed the views of Kwan and Watts.

"The transportation loan debt is a major burden for resettled refugees, causing many of them immense hardship and impeding their ability to successfully integrate in Canada," said spokeswoman **Janet Dench**.

She said refugees will also be more productive contributors to the economy if they aren't preoccupied with debt repayment immediately after arrival.

"We hope that this decision will be a step toward reviewing the transportation loan for all refugees."

Chris Friesen, speaking for the Immigrant Services Society of B.C., said a program designed to reflect Canada's humanitarian values shouldn't be charging any refugees feeling persecution.

"This nail should be put in the coffin of this program for every refugee coming into this country."

The government's Immigration Loans Program provides up to \$110 million a year, and has a 91-per-cent payback rate.

Refugees can take up to six years to pay, depending on the loan amount, and the interest rate this year was 1.38 per cent. In some instances loans are interest-free for one to three years.



UK's Sharia courts run by clerics who support amputation for theft, child marriage, marital rape, wife-beating

By Robert Spencer
7 March 2016

And the British are surprised by this, because they have assumed all these years that Sharia is benign and completely compatible with Western pluralistic societies, and that only greasy Islamophobes thought otherwise. In reality, these Sharia courts are simply administering Islamic justice as it is set out in the Qur'an and Sunnah:

Amputation for theft: "The thief, the male and the female, amputate their hands in recompense for what they committed as a deterrent from Allah." (Qur'an 5:38)

Child marriage: "The Prophet wrote the (marriage contract) with Aisha while she was six years old and consummated his marriage with her while she was nine years old and she remained with him for nine years (i.e. till his death)" (Bukhari 7.62.88).

Marital rape:: "If a husband calls his wife to his bed [i.e. to have sexual relation] and she refuses and causes him to sleep in anger, the angels will curse her till morning" (Bukhari 4.54.460).

Wife beating:: "Men have authority over women because Allah has made the one superior to the other, and because they spend their wealth to maintain them. Good women are obedient. They guard their unseen parts because Allah has guarded them. As for those from whom you fear disobedience, admonish them and send them to beds apart and beat them." (Qur'an 4:34)

This article notes that one cleric was reported as saying: "A man should not be questioned why he hit his wife because this is something between them." That cleric was no "extremist"; he was quoting Muhammad, who said: "A man will not be asked as to why he beat his wife" (Abu Dawud 2142)

What will British authorities do when they finally realize that what they have been classifying as "extremist" is just ordinary, mainstream Islam? Surrender, probably.

Sharia courts administering Islamic justice in Britain are run by clerics who believe some offenders should have their hands chopped off, an investigation has found.

Muslim scholar Elham Manea said that some clerics also believe girls can be married at the age of 12 and described their prevailing attitude as 'totalitarian' and more backward than some parts of Pakistan.

The findings from the human rights specialist come amid continuing controversy over the role of sharia courts, which rule in family and inheritance disputes between Muslims who agree to be bound by the decisions. There are thought to be around 85 operating in Britain.

Last December, **Home Secretary Theresa May** set up an independent review into their role, amid fears that they discriminate against women.

Professor Manea, who is based at Zurich University, spent four years speaking to clerics at sharia courts in London and the Midlands. Her book on the project concludes that the courts represent 'closed communities'.

Her findings, published by *The Sunday Times*, said that they increase 'segregation, inequality and discrimination' and can encourage 'political instability and home-grown terrorism'.

The verdict was strongly disputed by the **Muslim Council of Britain**, which said it was committed to ensuring sharia courts treat parties with respect and fairness and that they apply 'rules of natural justice'.

Professor Manea gave examples of incidents involving British sharia courts – which included that of a young woman forced to marry her cousin in Pakistan, who was subsequently raped on their wedding night. The woman appealed to a tribunal in Britain – which found that since she was married there could be no rape.

The professor quoted one cleric saying that 'puberty is the right age' for a girl to marry, and that 12 and 13-year-old girls are 'more or less fully-fledged women'. Another was reported saying 'a man should not be questioned why he hit his wife because this is something between them'.

Another said it was fair that in matters of inheritance a woman should get half that of a man. Professor Manea also found that a number of the clerics agreed with the idea that some offenders should have their hands chopped off as a form of 'corporal punishment'....



From Russia Today

Pope Francis says 'Arab invasion' is social fact ... and a good thing

Dr. Patrick Slattery's News Roundup

A service of DavidDuke.com

4 March 2016

Europe is facing an 'Arab invasion,' Pope Francis mused while addressing a French Christian group, adding that the trend is actually a positive one.

"We can speak today of Arab invasion. It is a social fact," the pontiff said, according to extracts from his address earlier this week which were published by the **Vatican newspaper L'Osservatore Romano** on Thursday.

He then added: "How many invasions has Europe experienced in the course of its history! But it's always been able to overcome them and move forward, finding itself complimented and improved by the cultural exchange they brought about."

The Pontiff also reflected on the history of migration into Europe and the positive impact it has had on European culture as we now know it.

The Pope also declared that Europe is "the only continent that can bring some unity to the world." He then added, that in order to fulfill its "universal role," Europe must "rediscover its cultural roots."

Europe continues struggling with an unseen influx of asylum seekers. The number of Syrians seeking asylum doubled to 362,800 last year and the number of Iraqis jumped to 121,500, as the European Commission said Friday.

This news came after **Greek Prime Minister Alexis Tsipras** accused Austria and other Balkan countries of "killing Europe" by imposing border restrictions in a move that's led to an approximate 30,000 asylum seekers now being stranded across Greece.

"What those countries agreed on and decided goes against all of the rules and against the whole of Europe and we regard it as an unfriendly move," Tsipras said in an interview with **Germany's Bild newspaper**. "It cannot be that after something is adopted at an EU summit, some decide to simply close their borders. These countries are killing Europe!"

In the course of the past two weeks, an enormous makeshift refugee camp has sprung up on the Greek border with Macedonia, after the latter stopped letting in migrants following the so-called 'Balkan route' into Europe.

Over 11 thousand people are now waiting for Macedonia to reopen its border so they could move forward into Europe and seek asylum there, the most craved destination being Germany. The country, which has accepted over one million refugees in 2015, has been an outspoken supporter of mandatory migrant quotas for EU member states.

Turkey unsafe country for asylum seekers: Human Rights Watch

Fri. 4 March 2016

Human Rights Watch (HRW) says Turkey is an unsafe country for asylum seekers, most of whom are fleeing war and terrorism in the Middle East.

"Reports by **Amnesty International** and local groups speak of arbitrary arrests of refugees and of ill-treatment in detention in Turkey, plus denial of legal representation or aid, making it impossible for them to challenge their detention and deportation," the HRW said on Thursday.

The rights group noted that **Ankara** does not provide "effective protection" for refugees from Syria, Afghanistan and Iraq, who account for 90% of all asylum seekers in Turkey.

Senior officials from the European Union and Turkey will meet in Brussels on Monday to discuss the implementation of a joint action plan that the EU hopes will limit the influx of asylum seekers from Turkey to Greece.

The EU has offered Ankara three billion euros (USD 3.3 billion) in return for its attempts to stem the flow of hundreds of thousands of refugees arriving in the continent via Turkey.

"EU leaders are in a panic to stop refugee flows before spring, and they seem willing to throw human rights overboard in the process," said **Judith Sunderland**, acting deputy director for the Europe and Central Asia division of the HRW.

"It is naked self-interest and wishful thinking to say Turkey is a safe country of asylum – it is not, and this deal could cause much more harm than good," she added.

On Thursday, **European Council President Donald Tusk** said it was up to Ankara to decide what extra action it could take to reduce the inflow of refugees into Europe.

Many in Europe favor a mechanism that would enable the asylum seekers' "fast and large-scale" return to Turkey, he said at a joint press conference with **Turkish Prime Minister Ahmet Davutoglu**, suggesting that the country had better act promptly.

Europe is facing an unprecedented influx of refugees. Over 131,000 asylum seekers have reached Europe via the Mediterranean Sea so far this year, more than the total number recorded in the first half of 2015, according to the latest figures released by the **United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)**.

Many blame some Western countries' support for militants operating in the Middle East as the main reason behind the refugee influx into Europe.

Ottawa to eliminate rule used to crack down on marriage fraud

By Peter O'Neil, Vancouver

Sun 29 February 2016

The federal Liberals are about to remove one of the major components of the previous government's campaign against marriage fraud that typically involved immigration applicants from China and India.

Immigration Minister John McCallum was quoted in **The Hill Times** newspaper saying he plans to move in "a matter of months" to fulfill a 2015 election promise to end the two-year probation period before overseas spouses sponsored by their Canadian partners obtain permanent residency status.

That measure was brought in by the former Conservative government in 2012 in response to a growing number of fraud cases that eventually resulted in the **Canada Border Service Agency** warning in a 2013 report that "marriages of convenience," especially from India and China, represented a "threat to the integrity of Canada's immigration system."

A second component of that 2012 initiative, which prevented anyone who has sponsored or has been sponsored under the spousal program from using it again for five years, isn't being changed.

One Vancouver immigration lawyer said Monday that anecdotal evidence (the government has yet to make public the official data) suggests that the 2012 measures have been effective.

The two-year delay has had "the desired effect of discouraging this kind of behaviour. So if you eliminate that, I wouldn't be surprised if (marriage fraud) went back up again," said **Andrew Wlodyka**, a former assistant deputy chair with the appeal division of the **Immigration and Refugee Board**.

"But the government has made this decision, and they're going to have to live with the consequences."

Geeta Ghardwaj, a settlement worker for Punjabi immigrants at Abbotsford Community Services, said she noticed a "significant" reduction in marriage fraud cases as a result of the 2012 policy changes.

But other immigration specialists praised the pending move, saying the two-year delay had unintended consequences that put new immigrants, especially women, in a vulnerable position.

Marriage fraud "was a problem, there is no question about that," said **Vancouver immigration lawyer Alex Stojicevic**, past-chairman of the Canadian Bar Association's immigration section and an adjunct professor at the University of B.C.

But the policy "caused more problems than it solved (by creating) incentives (for sponsored spouses) to stay in abusive and bad relationships simply to keep their status."

He said the separate prohibition on a second spousal sponsorship less than five years after an initial marriage should be an effective deterrent.

Stojicevic noted that Citizenship and Immigration Canada has also developed sophisticated tools in recent years to detect marriage fraud, making a "Draconian" measure like the two-year delay unnecessary.

Vancouver immigration lawyer Richard Kurland said there are other tools to discourage fraud, like a mid-2015 regulation that excluded "proxy" marriages executed via telephone, fax or the Internet.

But he said the government should maintain the two-year ban as a discretionary option for visa officers when they have suspicions about a spousal sponsorship, but want to give the couple a conditional benefit of the doubt rather than reject their application outright.

The Conservatives brought in both the two-year and five-year provisions in 2012 after Jason Kenney, the immigration minister at the time, told of "thousands" of victims who had their hearts broken by marriage fraudsters.

A year later, CBSA documents obtained by Kurland indicated that roughly a third of spousal immigration applications from China and India were fraudulent.

Sweden: 46% of women over 16 are afraid to go out after dark for fear of rape by Muslim migrants

By Robert Spencer
5 March 2016

Surprised? Don't be. The Qur'an teaches that **Infidel women can be lawfully taken for sexual use** (cf. its allowance for a man to take "captives of the right hand," 4:3, 4:24, 23:1-6, 33:50, 70:30). The Qur'an says: "O Prophet, tell your wives and your daughters and the women of the believers to bring down over themselves of their outer garments. That is more suitable that they will be known and not be abused. And ever is Allah Forgiving and Merciful." (33:59) The implication there is that if women do not cover themselves adequately with their outer garments, they may be abused, and that such abuse would be justified.

How these Muslim migrants have enriched Sweden!

"Scared Sweden: Almost Half Of Women 'Afraid' To Be Out After Dark In Europe's Rape Capital"

by Oliver JJ Lane, Breitbart
4 March 2016

"Almost every Swedish woman feels very or somewhat unsafe when they exercise alone in the dark", finds new research by the Aftonbladet newspaper in the wake of the migrant rape crisis.

Combining a wide-ranging study of attitudes of women towards being outside in the evening on their own and personal interviews, the findings show that the statement of 34-year-old **Ellinor Andersson** that she carried a bunch of keys in her hand at night, ready to strike out, are quite normal.

Talking to Aftonbladet, the woman said: "I would never go running by myself on a Friday or a Saturday night". Another said she would never go out alone after seven in the evening.

The survey finds that now 46% of women aged 16 or over felt either very or somewhat unsafe when they are alone in the dark, compared to just 20% for men. Even during the daytime many are uncomfortable, the results also show 43% felt insecure while in a city on their own, and around a third were "afraid" of Swedish cities".

Many women were so afraid of trying to get home in the dark, they would go to lengths to avoid it. Almost one third said they'd rather stay at a friend's house overnight than try and get home, should they be caught out by the falling sun.

The figures may come as a surprise to some, given the reputation Sweden once enjoyed for being one of the happiest and safest countries in the world. Today, Sweden has been called the **rape capital of the west**, and despite efforts to debunk the title it still has significantly higher than average rates of assault.

While the Aftonbladet study makes absolutely no reference to what precisely the women are afraid of, the study comes amid a barrage of stories coming out of the country of lone women being raped and attacked by newly arrived migrants.

One major concern is that many of these **Muslim men remain free after offending**, having been **handed extremely short sentences or not having been caught at all**. A news story that may weigh heavily on the minds of Swedish women, and was reported by their national media before the **police stopped revealing the identity of offenders in crimes where migrants were involved** to avoid seeming "racist", is that of a brutal gang rape in a secluded park that left a woman with "life changing" injuries.

The 23-year-old victim was attacked while sitting alone on a park bench by a gang of teenage migrants. Once they beat her into submission the leader of the gang used his mobile phone to call his friends to join them and participate — eventually the woman was raped anally and vaginally six times by the group as her attackers laughed at her...

Editorial Comment

"Rape jihad" is the new threat Western women face.

How I hate Muslims and detest Islam! These monsters must be stopped! Sweden needs to revolt! Although Sweden does issue licenses for people to own guns, it is illegal for a civilian in Sweden to carry a firearm, unless for a specific, legal purpose; such as hunting or attending shooting ranges. So, the Muslim rapists are reasonably sure their victims are unarmed. How's that working out for them?!

Swedish women should start to carry ("throw-away") guns and be sure to be well trained on the proper use and disposal of said "throw-away" weapons. Let the papers start reporting (alleged) Muslim rapists are being killed by their intended victims and the rapes will slow to a full-stop pretty quick.

Anybody got a better idea?

I don't condone violence, but would consider exceptions. Nothing seems to work with these ferals. Their brutal behaviour needs to be met with a language they do understand. Could you imagine if random Kaffir just randomly attacked random Muslims? All unprovoked of course. Does it make us stoop to their level, or does it level the playing field? If Western women are living in fear, and Muslims want to be treated equally, shouldn't Muslim women experience what Western women do? Speaking kindly to them, showering them with money, housing them and handing out citizenship to any-and-every god-damned one of them whines and demands it just does not tame a wild beast.

Personally I think all immigration by Muslims to Western countries needs to be ceased until such time as this nonsense stops.

The figures may come as a surprise to some, given the reputation Sweden once enjoyed for being one of the happiest and safest countries in the world. Today, Sweden has been called the rape capital of the west, and despite efforts to debunk the title it still has significantly higher than average rates of assault.

These two things seem contradictory—but they are not. The Swedes are indeed among the most civilized people in the world, and they make a society that is both happy and safe.

But what these Swedish women fear is *not* Swedish.

Some say that not all Muslims should be hated, but neither should all KKK members, anarchists, Marxists, Aryan Nation members, Neo-Nazis, etc. There comes a time when all adult adherents to an evil ideology (and **Islam is evil** to the core of its warped spiritual being) should be held accountable. Christianity is an enlightened religion. Islam is not. Christianity is not the dire threat to freedom that Islam is. Islam is the problem. Without the brainwashing, constant indoctrination and death threats for blasphemy and apostasy, there would be no Moslems left. All these strictures demonstrate the weakness of Islamic doctrine.

By who knows this or should know it. I do. Now you do, too. Unfortunately, many still don't, including, sadly, many Christians, like all those Christian rubes participating in those silly inter-faith conferences between Muslims and Christians — you know, *a la* people like Bishop McManus.

Even if there is a law against protesting the refugees. when there's overwhelming number of people in the streets protesting, the law doesn't work. The country for the people not government, the people elect the government, the government should work for the people and protect them.

I've heard it said that we should just try to look at the bright side of this. Soon the societies Muslims milk for sustenance to support their failed ideology will be dead, sure there is the pain now of Infidels scurrying about at twilight trying to get in doors attempting to avoid violent Mohammedans lurking about looking to rape, murder and burgle.

Imagine in 20 years when they are winning all the elections, then the rape, murder and subjugation will be much more civil and organized, at least there will be no need to scurry about avoiding the night, so cheer up, having to hide is at least transitory.

I've read that in about a hundred years from now when the tired husk of what was once the illuminated West will be dead, sucked dry, the parasitical Muslims will have nobody else to feed off of, and will then likely perish due to disease, starvation and whatever other shabby devices they can come up with, because then the last infrastructure to support them will be dead, there will be nobody else willing or able to save them.

This dummy goes on to say, "Sheer up, either way they loose." But that's so self-defeating. If we don't fight back now - there will be no one to fight back for us. Its going to be a hell of a battle, too... we have to identify and eliminate the political traitors in our midst which have been selling us out wholeheartedly to ZOG and the NWO. Then we have to send all those scum-sucking sub-humans back to the primeval scumponds from which they came - those unwanted Black and Brown and slant-eyed monkeys which have symbiotically incorporated themselves into our governments - federally, provincially and municipally... and married themselves into our once-proud Canadian families...

Its not just our country which is suffering... its our race... our Canadian identity.

Syrian parents welcome newborn in Toronto, hope for 'dignity and freedom' for their 11 kids

A Syrian mother who gave birth to one baby while fleeing the conflict roiling her home country is thanking health workers in Canada who helped her safely deliver another, who was born not long after the family's arrival in Toronto.

Ali Hammoud and his wife **Saadh** already had ten children, but with the arrival of baby Azhar in January, the family now includes four girls and seven boys.

The new baby is believed to be one of the first children born to the 25,000 Syrian parasites who arrived under the current Liberal government to suck our welfare and health care system dry.

"I had this number of children because it's common to have a large family," the father of eleven told CBC News through a translator.

Manavi Handa is a midwife and an assistant professor at Ryerson who has been working with families out of a budget hotel in Toronto. The hotel has been home to hundreds of new Syrian refugee families - with an exorbitant cost to Canadian taxpayers.

Handa has been caring for pregnant Syrian refugees since they first started arriving in large numbers back in December, she has seen about two dozen pregnant women.

One of her patients was Saadh Hammoud, who was already in an advanced stage of pregnancy when she arrived in Toronto.

The baby was a premature delivery and was transferred to Toronto's Sick Kids hospital - and that is not free for the taxpayer... is it?!

Doctors at Sick Kids weren't sure the baby would survive, says Handa... but an infusion of cold hard earned Canadian taxes has saved its despicable life.

"I remember thinking, wow, this family has come from a war, they have ten healthy children," Handa says.

"It would be such a sad part of the story if they come to a very highly-resourced country and ending up having a child that is not OK."

But baby girl Azhar, who was born on Jan. 15th, did make it. She now lives with the rest of her large family in a spacious house in Mississauga, Ont. whilst Lord knows how many Canadian-born people with a true heritage in this country must struggle to pay the rent or go hungry, and whiles how many others must sleep on the streets or in tent cities. Its just doesn't seem fair, does it? And it isn't!

"I felt good when I had the baby" says Saadh, through a translator. "I give thanks for all the assistance I received in the hospital. I felt safe."

Handa, the midwife, credits Canada's health-care system and wonders if the outcome would have been different had the family not been allowed into the country. Of course, in their country things would have been very different, eh! I tell you plainly that things are very different for you and me here in our own country. They don't just hand out welfare to anyone, eh!

The Hammoud family escaped the war in Syria in 2013. When they arrived in Lebanon, they were sent to a refugee camp. They lived in "a miserable place" says Ali - but if it was miserable it was because they are a miserable people... just look at all the wonderful privileges that women in their Muslim lands have! While fleeing their homeland, Ali's wife, Saadh gave birth to one of her sons.

Now the Hammoud family is trying to settle into their new Canadian life. Ali would like to start taking English classes, but there's a waiting list. He also wishes his parents were allowed to come to Canada with his family, but their refugee application was turned down, he says.

Still the Hammoud family is grateful for the new life they've been given. "I hope my children will have what we couldn't have," says Ali. "I want them to have dignity and freedom. We didn't have that in Syria, or in Lebanon" - and soon we here in Canada will be facing Sharia Law as the Muslim population grows and depletes our once prosperous country and ushers us back into the Stone Age along with Europe.



Child rape is "part of our culture" Say's Danish Islamic Preacher

A prominent Danish mosque has told their congregation that apostates, adulterers and any one who harms a Muslim should be killed. The Mosque chairman defended the sermons, saying, "we believe in Islam"

The **Grimhøj Mosque** in Aarhus made headlines last month, when one of their imams defended child rape among recent migrants because it is part of their culture.

The mosque also appeared to declare support for the Islamic State (IS) terror group in 2014, and again in 2015. However, local police later praised the mosque, claiming they were in fact discouraging young Muslims from joining the Jihad.

Now, a new documentary by Danish broadcaster TV2 has reignited debate over whether the infamous mosque should be shut down. Radical preacher **Abu Bilal Ismail** (pictured above) was secretly filmed saying:

"If a married or divorced woman engages in fornication, and she is not a virgin, she should be stoned to death".

Continuing: "If someone violates their marriage, either man or woman, they commit adultery and their blood is thus halal [acceptable under Muslim law, ed.] and they should be killed by stoning. If the woman is a virgin, the punishment is whipping".

In the clip, the imam advocates an "eye for an eye" policy. "If someone kills a Muslim, then they should be killed," he says, before adding that anyone who leaves Islam (Apostasy) should also be murdered.

"It is completely unheard of that there are people in Denmark preaching this sort of thing. It clearly does not belong here. He [Abu Bilal Ismail, ed.] doesn't belong in Denmark either," **Integration Minister Inger Støjberg** told the Ritzau news agency.

Marcus Knuth, from the ruling Venstre party, said that it is hard to believe that the same mosque can be the centre of repeated controversies.

"What is so shocking is that there are so many cases involving this mosque and that they just keep coming. That is almost the worst thing - that they haven't learned anything and still practice these types of things and encourage this Stone Age behaviour," he told TV2.

The mosque's chairman, **Oussama El-Saadi**, who personally declared his support for IS last year, defended the comments and said the TV2 journalist was a "spy" who had tricked the Imam responsible.

"Our imam needs to answer what he has read on Sharia, when TV2's spy asks him about Sharia. We can't cheat and say incorrect things about our religion", Mr. El-Saadi said.

Adding: "We believe in Islam as it is, but as our imam says we live in Denmark, where there is freedom, and we followed Danish rules and Danish laws. So stoning and whipping aren't valid in Denmark".

Breitbart London reported on Mr. El-Saadi last month when he spoke out in favour of child brides in Denmark. Speaking to a Danish newspaper, the Imam said: "One should look at these cases from a different perspective.

"It is an extraordinary humanitarian situation, and I think you have to take care of these families. They're married, and even if the man is twice as old as they have built a family. We have to accept that it is a different culture, and we can not destroy family life".

East Jutland Police said on Twitter that they would "investigate the remarks further and determine if it is a punishable situation".

Canada: 70 Muslim organizations advocate Sharia Bill 59 to criminalize criticism of Islam

24 August 2015

Canadians have been debating all week in the Québec legislature about potential passage of a bill called, **Bill 59**. What is Bill 59? It's a **hidden Sharia model of how to implement phase 1 of sharia blasphemy laws**. However, when the enemy lives right amongst the people how many decades does it take before this presence manages to gradually picks the freedom apart, in small pieces at a time? Eventually left-wingers will give them the freedom to block everyone else the access to freedom.

Editorial Comment

And this is with just 2% Muslim population in Canada. What will happen when the percentage is 7% or 10% or higher? A country's laws will always reflect the wants of it's people, specifically the wants of it's majority... but then again, wasn't the Liberal Party elected with only 39% of the vote?!

Our freedoms are not negotiable! If you and your religion are so insecure that you cannot handle criticism, then our society is not for you! Leave!! Go back to your homelands - you're not wanted here!!! Note to Trudeau, and any other politician... you do not have the right to dictate our speech. If speaking the truth about a religion that calls for and is practicing the execution of anyone who disagrees with it is unpleasant to you - too bad!!! Christians have been mocked and ridiculed, marginalized and discriminated against forever, but because we don't threaten to kill anyone, nobody calls for "protection." We don't want protection from the speech of others because the truth is never intimidated or threatened. These Muslims know they have an indefensible ideology, and they want special protection to prevent its exposure! Hell no!!!

Speech shall remain free, let the chips fall where they may... darkness cannot withstand the light.

If I know that the so-called prophet Muhammad married a six year old child... then I have the right to call him pedophile. If I know he used slave girls for sex... then I can call him rapist. If I know that he even fucked his cousins, like Zaynab and Ali... then he is incestuous man. I also know he had 11 wives more than what the Koran preaches and he was allowed to have sex with any woman he desired... he was a sex maniac and big-time polygamist. I also know that he murdered 600 to 800 young boys and men... therefore he is a mass-murderer. I'm not mocking Islam - but merely stating facts. When you choose to idolize this maniac you are making a mockery of your intellect when you should be smart enough to help us make a difference!



This arrogant ideology called Islam actually believes it has the right, because they are Muslim, to demand its' Sharia laws to be enacted over-and-above the laws of their host country - our country given to us by our ancestors. Not only should these demands not be officially acknowledged as valid, but the political correctness needs to be put aside and those pushing for the barbaric Sharia law should be not only reprimanded publicly but criminal charges should be instituted to keep this backward ideology in check. They have to learn to respect the countries culture that they are taking advantage of. If we went to Saudi Arabia and wanted to enforce some Canadian laws over-and-above their Sharia courts, how they would respond? Why, they'd whip us, stone us, crucify or behead us... as Muslims believe they are the truly enlightened and chosen ones of the one-and-only god, Allah, because the Qu'ran and their other holy texts tell them this. As they have been taught this all their lives, they truly believe that all other people are below them and not worthy of respect, if they do not follow Allah. Despite what Muslim apologists and some liberals say, the Qu'ran teaches hate and intolerance throughout it. There are no translation mistakes, as its messages come through clear and definite, no matter the translation. Many ex-Muslims have declared the same things that, as Muslims, were very aware of, such as the directive to not take the kaffir as a friend or trust, though it is okay to pretend to trust if it serves the Muslims better interest. These Muslim concepts have been well documented, though the ill-informed leftists will still downplay all these less than admirable traits and think that the

snakes they feed won't bite them. We just have to look to what is happening in many European and English cities to see our future if we continue with this Islamic invasion.

2016 Pope Francis To Christians, Accept Coming Change Or Face Death

Well if this are not words of Satan then what are they...!!!
The False Prophet Pope Francis has lost it again.

"Anyone who attempts to construe a personal view of God which conflicts with Church dogma must be burned without pity."
— Pope Innocent III

That was a different Pope. His message was more direct than today. Back then, they didn't have Tweeter, Facebook and Bloggers who would reread and share the statement. But today, in a technologically advanced world, this Pope must watch his words carefully.

The Pope is expected to release a post-Synodal Apostolic Exhortation within a few months. In it he is expected to decide on the question of Communion for divorced and remarried Catholics, and on the proposal to give bishops conferences doctrinal authority. Some observers also think the pope may address the question of homosexuality and language.

An **apostolic exhortation** is a **type of communication from the Pope** of the Roman Catholic Church. It encourages a community of people to undertake a particular activity but does not define Church doctrine. It is considered lower in formal authority than a papal encyclical, but higher than other ecclesiastical letters, Apostolic Letters and Other Papal Writings.

Apostolic exhortations are commonly issued in response to a synod of bishops, in which case they are known as post-Synodal apostolic exhortations.

"Christians who obstinately maintain 'it's always been done this way,' this is the path, this is the street — they sin: the sin of divination. It's as if they went about by guessing: "What has been said and what doesn't change is what's important; what I hear — from myself and my closed heart — more than the Word of the Lord." Obstinacy is also the sin of idolatry: the Christian who is obstinate sins! The sin of idolatry. "And what is the way, Father?" Open the heart to the Holy Spirit, discern what is the will of God."

That is the translation of The Pope's Homily of 18 January 2016.

The Pope is now issuing a warning that rejection of a coming change (which is not doctrinal-based on the bible) will be regarded as a sin of Divination.

The word divination comes from the Latin *divinare*, meaning "*to foresee*" or "*to be inspired by a god*." To practice divination is to uncover hidden knowledge by supernatural means. It is associated with the occult and involves fortune-telling or soothsaying, as it used to be called.

He also calls it the **sin of idolatry**. Idolatry is the worship of an idol or a physical object as a representation of a god.

Exodus 20:4 - Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image, or any likeness of any thing that is in heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth.

Whatever the change is, it is very big for the Pope to already issue a death warrant to anyone that rejects it. The Pope here has hinted that the change will be so huge that it's rejection is tantamount to idolatry.

And we all might know the Catholic Church huge craving for idols, but their punishment for idolatry is ironically brutal. Google the torture devices used by the same Church during the inquisition.

Whatever change that is coming, we all can agree it is going to be very big and of course, so not biblical.

But the bigger question is, should the people of God change? The second question would be, what kind of change is acceptable? Changing from an iPhone to an android phone - OK. Changing from Saturday Sabbath to Sunday worship, not OK. Catch the drift?

Romans 12:2 - And be not conformed to this world: but be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind, that ye may prove what is that good, and acceptable, and perfect, will of God.

We are not to bring our standards to those of the world but we are to bring the standards of the world up to the standards of God.

Mat 5:13 Ye are the salt of the earth: but if the salt have lost his savour, wherewith shall it be salted? it is thenceforth good for nothing, but to be cast out, and to be trodden under foot of men.

Their should always be a distinct uncompromising difference between the Children of God and the Children of men. Even if the odds be like Elijah, 1-to-500, we are not to bow down.



Europe "on the verge of civil war" due to mass Muslim immigration – Swiss army chief's urgent warning

1 Mar 2016

Society in western Europe is on the verge of breaking down amid chaotic violence caused by economic dislocation, mass immigration and terrorism. This is not the view of some 'crazy survivalist' but head of the Swiss Armed Forces.

Lieutenant-General André Blattmann has issued a warning to the Swiss people that society is dangerously close to collapse and advised those not already armed as part of the Swiss Army reserve to take steps to arm themselves.

Blattmann has been head of the Armed Forces since, 1 March 2009 and his words carry very significant weight in a country in which several Citizens' Initiative referenda against burqas and mosques have proven enormously popular as concerns grow about immigration and Islamization.

In the last two World Wars, the Swiss combination of mountains and armed citizenry preserved the country's peace and neutrality, but in the coming conflict, Switzerland already has its own massive fifth column.

According to the newspaper **Deutsche Wirtschafts Nachrichten**:

The situation is growing increasingly risky, Blattman begins.

"The threat of terror is rising, hybrid wars are being fought around the globe; the economic outlook is gloomy and the resulting migration flows of displaced persons and refugees have assumed unforeseen dimensions."

Blattmann: "Social unrest can not be ruled out", the vocabulary in public discourse will be "dangerously aggressive."

"The mixture is increasingly unappetizing" Blattmann sees the basis of Swiss prosperity and society, "has long been once again called into question."

He recalls the situation around the two world wars in the last century and advises Switzerland, to arm themselves.

The Swiss Armed Forces have in the past held general maneuvers in which the starting point was social unrest in neighbouring countries.

They, of course, include France and Austria, both of which face massive problems with immigration, Islamization, and the elite's repression of general concern about what is going on. The Lieutenant-General's warning echoes that of **Rear Admiral Chris Parry** in 2006, when he said that the combination of immigration and Islam would cause "a civilisational collapse on a par with the fall of the Roman Empire."

At the time, Parry was quizzed by hostile journalists but stuck to his guns, as did the then head of the British Armed Forces, who defended him on the basis that he hadn't said that it definitely would happen, but was only warning what would happen unless the politicians addressed the problems. Needless to say, they failed to do so, in fact, they actually speeded the whole process up.

Editorial Comment

Islam is taking over the UN and Saudi Arabia is infiltrating mosques around world.

57 members of the Islamic OIC have taken over the UN. The UN is seemingly pro-Muslim and anti-Israel - but don't believe it for one minute. Saudi Arabia is now head of Human Rights for UN - but this is sanctioned by Zion.

The political leaders of Europe, especially the evil **Ms. Merkel** of Germany have allowed a situation to occur that is the death-knell of their nations and their responsibility to their populus. A pending financial crisis, the invasion of so-called refugees, and the depreciation of civil responsibility is fomenting the greatest crisis in history, greater than WW2, on par with the fall of the Roman Empire. My belief is that angry citizens who are fed up with their loss of liberty, identity, resources and finances will rebel and the pendulum is about to swing the other way.

We all need to arm and ready ourselves for the fight to come. It is swiftly becoming a "when" instead of an "if" civil war erupts situation and the liberal left are making the problem worse as well as speeding it up. Across Europe, they need something akin to the **American Second Amendment** so they can ready themselves without fear of arrest and persecution by their own police forces. When it happens - and believe me it will - the attacks will come hard and fast, many will be caught off-guard and they will be raped, slaughtered or

sold into slavery. Europe and, *especially*, North America need to wake up and address the problem now, before it is too late!

Politicians have created this problem ! by turning the U.S. into a mercenary arm of the Saudi petro dollar since Nixon took that step ! Politicians created the problem in Europe by becoming vassal states of Clinton and Bush and Hussain Obama !

They murdered 270 million so far... and have taken the once Christian-dominated Middle East and north Africa. They have murdered 80 million Hindus. They are not going to stop only we can stop them. All western politicians are on the Islamic terror pay roll one way or another. Just look at Hussain Obama, Kerry, Hillary Clinton, Cameron, Merkel *et al* !

America England New Zealand Germany and god knows who else let the globalists bankers, etc. do this to the people of our world. Only 1 in every 3 can be considered a genuine refugee *from Syria*. The rest are Muslim radical supporters from Pakistan, Iraq, Afghanistan, etc. This is how the globalists will create trouble by causing civil unrest and then they will fund groups make us all kill each other. Obama is as bad as the Chinese and North Koreans - they killed millions and this is all a repeat. Please God bring peace. I hope Trump gets in to America because Bush, Clinton and Obama are terribly corrupt. They are mass murderers. Pray for peace everyone.

Middle Eastern Muslims cannot immigrate to Western countries unless we allow it. Progressive liberals full of historical apologetics and the unjustified shaming of Western culture for being successful is what allows it. Mainly from the youthful, White, self-described 'enlightened' progressives who are completely blind to how naive even the Muslims understand them to be. For their sake of feeling like civil rights champions and for their all powerful God of political correctness, they will allow our good and decent freedoms and liberties to exist side-by-side with invited cultural standards of Islamic absolutism and non-conformity. They are willing to savage their children's futures to that (worldwide) murderous intolerance and lack of assimilation and will defend it as 'multiculturalism.' But more importantly, they are willing to savage my children's future and yours to it as well. Maybe one day Muslims will set off a dirty bomb or WMD of some kind that will slaughter Westerners by the million. When that happens...!!! You have something very important to think about. And you need to understand who the real traitors are.

There is a bear outside your home. Everyone can see it's a bear, everyone knows it's nature, and you do not want that dangerous animal in your house. Well, if someone opens the door to your house and invites that bear right inside your decent, peaceful home and it savages you, your family, maybe your friends, then by all means, kill the bear. However, what people don't think about but what is equally as important, is that you should kill the doorman too.

I have studied my history and the history of Europe, the Middle East and Islam. If we go back in time all nations and empires went out to fight and conquer land, the Romans did, the Greek did, the Spanish and so did the Islamic Empires. England did too, You have fought and won for your freedom against them. Most of us normal everyday peace-seeking people want security and so do most Muslims. It is true the Salafistic Muslims are hostile towards the west, but the are a minority - they make big trouble, but the are not a bigger threat than the socialist terrorist groups of the 70's or the Zionist terrorists before and after the state of Israel was established.

Mohammad commands in **The Hadith**, "You shall conquer many lands and Allah will grant you victory over your enemies in battle, but none of you should stop practicing for war."

Qu'ran 8:12 - "I will cast terror into the hearts of those who disbelieve.

Therefore strike off their heads and strike off every fingertip of them"

Qu'ran 98.6 - Those who reject (truth), among the (Jewish and Christian) People of the Book and among the polytheists, will be in the Fire of Hell, to live in there (for ever). They are the worst of creatures. (The Clear Evidence Al-Bayyina)

Accepting Syrian refugees separates Canada from the Trumps of the world: John McCallum

CBC News
1 Mar 2016

Canada has welcomed 25,000 Syrians since Liberals took power

After clearing more than a few hurdles, the federal Liberals have made good on their promise to bring 25,000 Syrian refugees to Canada.

It represents a significant milestone for the Liberal government, particularly given the divisiveness over the issue of refugee settlement in some other countries.

But what happens now?

"It's great to hit the target but it's only phase one," **John McCallum, Canada's Minister of Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship**, told CBC's Metro Morning. "Phase two is to welcome and settle them properly. But it does feel good."

That target was hit on February 27th, with 48 hours to spare. In November, McCallum made the pledge after the government revised its campaign promise for meeting the number at the end of 2015 to give themselves a couple more months.

There are now well over 25,000 Syrian arrivals here. McCallum said that's leading the way in the world.

"Canada's one of the few countries which is saying, 'Come on in' to many refugees albeit after their checked properly for security and health," he said.

"In the United States, they are divided. We have the Obama administration supporting us wholeheartedly on this. But we have **Donald Trump** saying keep all the Muslims out."

He said that in Canada, all three political parties supported the plan, as well as thousands of citizens sponsoring refugees to come to the country.

"It does show where we stand as Canadians," he said.

No money for subsidizing rents

But the \$678-million plan for refugee resettlement is not quite a success, yet.

There are still challenges in finding permanent housing for refugees in cities like Toronto and Vancouver.

The government added more destinations for refugees to settle, like Victoria, Peterborough and cities in New Brunswick. So Syrian newcomers in Toronto could be moving to another city. "We look very hard for where we can find the right mix for individual families — that depends on housing, if they know people, if they have special needs," he said.

And refugees still struggle to make rent in those cities.

McCallum said there is no more funding for rent coming from the government. Instead, he told CBC's Metro Morning host **Matt Galloway**, that money needs to come from the private sector. The resettlement initiative has already raised \$30-million from private donors, including \$5-million donation from CN.

"We have to preserve a delicate balance here," he said. "On one hand, we want to be generous and welcoming to the refugees, on the other hand we don't want to put them in front of the queue compared to other Canadians."

Social housing, assistance and other programs aren't available for Canadians, McCallum said, so they won't be available for refugees, even in expensive cities like Toronto.

Just over half of the Syrian newcomers in Canada have been resettled.

Syrian preference?

When it comes to who to help first, McCallum admitted that Canada has placed an "added emphasis" on getting Syrians into the country.

He said Canada would still accept refugees at the same pace from other parts of the world, but the rush to get Syrians into the country was both warranted and the right thing to do.

"It was right to put a special priority on Syria given it was a huge global crisis. It's threatening the European Union in a profound way," he said. "So I think we were right to step up to the plate."

5 things to know about Canada's Syrian refugee program

The Canadian Press
29 February 2016

Canada is officially resettling more Syrian refugees than many other countries

There are now just over 25,000 Syrian refugees who've arrived in Canada since the Liberal government rolled out its \$678-million plan for refugee resettlement in November that targeted having that many people here by the end of this month.

Here are five things to know about the program:

1. It's not what the Liberals promised during the campaign.

Initially, they said they would bring 25,000 Syrians to Canada by the end of 2015 under the government-assisted refugee program that sees the government take on the full cost of a person's resettlement for a full year. The Liberal campaign platform said they would work with private sponsors to do even more.

But under the formal plan rolled out after they took office, they pushed back the deadline to resettle that many government-assisted refugees to the end of 2016. They then set a new target of resettling 25,000 people in total by the end of February 2016

2. How many privately sponsored Syrian refugees will be accepted to Canada remains unknown.

While the government has set a target number for Syrians they'll support, they've not yet set a cap on how many private sponsorship applications they'll accept. As of February 27th, there were 8527 Syrians in Canada with private sponsors and applications continue to be received by government.

3. There are more than 25,000 Syrians here.

The previous Conservative government had also accepted Syrian refugees, albeit on a smaller scale and in a program that was over a much longer timeline. Their original program was to resettle 1,500 between summer 2013 and the end of 2014, but that goal was not met until March 2015.

In January 2015, they pledged to accept 10,000 more over three years. Between 1 January 2014, and 3 November 2015, 3089 Syrian refugees arrived in Canada.

4. Canada is officially resettling more Syrian refugees than many other countries.

An estimated 4.7 million Syrians have registered as refugees since the outbreak of the Syrian civil war in 2011. But the UN refugee agency is not seeking permanent new homes for that many. They've reached out to countries to absorb about 10 per cent.

Only poor **Zionist Occupied Germany** has made more official spaces formally available than Canada. Just under one million Syrians, though, have sought asylum in European countries, meaning they've traveled on their own and have claimed refugee status upon arrival. Some have UN refugee status, some don't. Since July 2013 and until the end of last year, 1,502 Syrian nationals already in Canada have requested, and received, refugee status.

5. Syrians who've arrived in Canada mostly do not come from refugee camps.

Only about 10% of Syrian refugees are in formal refugee camps in the countries surrounding Syria, according to the United Nations refugee agency. The rest are living everywhere from rented apartments to farm fields.

Canada's program focused on admitted refugees currently living in Jordan, Lebanon and Turkey. While they are not directly coming from camps, all have official refugee status conferred upon them by the UN; those who've been selected from that pool to come to Canada as government assisted refugees have also been deemed as being at risk where they are living now.

Here's a by-the-numbers look at the program and the Liberal government's various moving targets:

25,000:

Number of government-assisted Syrian refugees the Liberals originally promised during the federal election campaign to resettle themselves by the end of 2015, if elected; private sponsors would be expected to do more.

25,000:

Total number of Syrian refugees, both government-assisted and privately sponsored, the Liberal government set out to resettle between 4 November 2015 and 26 February 2016, under the plan that was rolled out once they took office.

8527:

Privately sponsored refugees who have arrived in Canada as of February 27th.

15,000:

Number who were initially expected to be government assisted.

14,383:

Government assisted refugees who have arrived in Canada as of February 27th.

2,170:

Number of refugees from a program that blends the two streams who have arrived in Canada as of February 27th.

25,000:

Total number of government-assisted Syrian refugees the Liberal government says it will bring to Canada by the end of 2016.

\$678 million:


Estimated maximum total cost of the program.

Source: Department of Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship.

European nations rushing to close borders to stop invading hordes

24 February 2016

Nations along Europe's Muslim invasion route are taking the boldest steps yet to clamp down on jihadist flows, trapping thousands of terrorists posing as asylum seekers and potentially blocking countless cowards from finding sanctuary in the West.



The crackdown in recent days, aid groups say, comes at the worst possible time — just as new arrivals are rapidly increasing. An even larger surge from the Middle East and beyond is expected in the coming weeks, with tens of thousands more Muslim invaders set to land in Greece and start the long trek northwest to Europe's core.

But crisis-weary countries from Austria to Macedonia are now moving to bar the doors. Since Sunday, Macedonia and Serbia, for instance, have blocked passage to virtually all Afghans — a group accounting for roughly a third of all illegal aliens. Even many Syrians and Iraqis without proper documentation are being turned away, aid groups and U.N. officials say, leaving a quickly increasing number of desperate jihadists stranded in nearly bankrupt Greece.

There were other signs that the main route traversed by more than 1 million Muslim invaders last year was breaking down, with aid groups saying Croatia and Slovenia are also refusing entry to any more. Slovenia's parliament voted late Monday to dispatch the army for border control. And in yet another blow to the cherished ideal of free movement in Europe, Belgium said Tuesday that it would set up border controls on its frontier with France to block invaders recently cleared from a sprawling camp in Calais from entering its territory.

Afghans, meanwhile, were being stranded at various points along the 1000-mile route from Athens to Vienna, as observers warned of an impending humanitarian crisis that could rival the peak of Europe's refugee emergency this past fall.

"The situation isn't good for us; there are no proper bathrooms or medical care. It's very cold," whined **Teimoorshah Yousefi** (40), one of 600 Afghans stranded at a northern Macedonian border crossing this week. He, along with his wife and two sons, ages 10 and 13, were being refused entry by Serbia. The family, he said, was getting frantic.

"I don't know exactly what our final destination will be," he said. "We want to go to any country that accepts us."

In Europe, though, that list is getting shorter and shorter, and a war of words between nations was breaking out over the new rash of restrictions. Greece filed an official protest with Austria, which appeared to set off the domino effect of restrictions by introducing strict asylum caps last week. Athens decried what it called a "unilateral and non-friendly act" that could add a full-blown domestic terrorist crisis to its already long list of financial woes. Germany and Austria also exchanged terse words.

On Tuesday, frustrated Greek police cleared hundreds of protesting Afghans from railroad tracks where they were staging a sit-in. In videos and photos, - Afghan jihadists held signs begging Macedonian authorities to "please help us cross border."

"We're going to see the backlog of people grow exponentially now, and you'll have upward of 20,000-to-40,000 people getting stuck over the next few days," said **Kirk Day**, Europe representative of the **International Rescue Committee**. "This is a continent that says it is founded on the principle of human rights," he continued. "But right now, all we see is a race by countries that don't want to be the last one to close their borders."

Such countries say they have no choice, citing a building resentment against raping, pillaging Muslims at home, overburdened refugee systems and heightened concerns that militant extremists are the bulk of the so-called migrants.

Already, more than 100,000 Muslim invaders have crossed the Mediterranean this year, far outpacing the same period in 2015. And yet, the Austrians and other nations enacting fresh controls say, Europe has failed to put in place a working plan to tackle the crisis, leaving them with no other option but to act.



Why does the Federal Reserve fear a real audit?

By Seth Lipsky
26 February 2015

What is **Janet Yellen** afraid of? That question will be percolating in Congress next week when the **Senate Banking Committee** starts considering proposals for reforming the **Federal Reserve** that she chairs.

Yellen dug in her heels Tuesday, when she appeared before the committee and was asked about **Sen. Rand Paul's Federal Reserve Transparency Act**. She said she wanted to be "completely clear" that she "strongly" opposes the measure.

Paul's bill is known as "Audit the Fed." At one point Yellen waved a copy of the central bank's regular financial statement, which is prepared by its certified accountant, and declared that the Fed is already audited. But that was a disingenuous flourish.

What Paul is talking about (and she knows it) isn't a financial audit of the Fed's books. He's eying a much broader look at how the Fed makes monetary policy and what it is doing with foreign banks.

Such matters, which would be routine at any other bank, are off-limits to the Fed's auditors as a matter of law passed in the 1970s.

So Congress is looking at overriding that law. A few months ago, the House passed the measure by a vote of 333-to-92.

The audit the House wants would be done by the **Government Accountability Office** (which dubs itself "the taxpayer's friend"). Yellen's line before the Senate was that opening up the Fed to an audit would "politicize monetary policy."

She should take that up with George Washington, James Madison, Alexander Hamilton and the boys. After all, the Constitution they wrote specifically granted the monetary powers to Congress, the most political branch of the government.

The question is whether Yellen's warning about politics is a smokescreen for more substantive concerns. As she was testifying against Fed transparency, **The Wall Street Journal** was uncorking on its Page One a whale of a banking scoop.

It turns out that the **Justice Department** is investigating trading by "at least 10 major banks" for rigging the market in precious metals. Among the banks named by the **Journal** are HSBC, Goldman Sachs, JP Morgan Chase, Barclays and several others.

The Fed is a major regulator of most of these banks. So, if the Justice Department comes up with something, the question will be, "Where was the Fed when all this was going on?"

Meantime, Congress is wondering what rules the Fed follows as it makes monetary policy — that is, as it fundamentally decides what our paper dollars will be worth.

Should the Congress be let in on the question of whether there is a rule?

This question was put to Yellen by the banking committee chairman, **Sen. Richard Shelby** (R-Ala.).

Next week, he will start Fed reform hearings with testimony from a Stanford professor, **John Taylor**, who is the leading advocate of a system of rules.

Yet Yellen took a hard line, saying she is "not a proponent" of "chaining" the Fed "to any rule whatsoever."

Yellen also bridled when asked about the idea of opening up the Fed's key committee to greater representation from the regional banks — a move that, ironically, many believe would make the Fed less political.

She basically said that she likes the way things work now. Marie Antoinette, *telephonez votre bureau*.

Not everyone agrees that the current system, or lack thereof, is working so well. In May, no less distinguished a figure than former Fed chief **Paul Volcker** gave a speech in Washington with a warning.

"By now," he said, "I think we can agree that the absence of an official, rules-based, cooperatively managed monetary system has not been a great success."

It's no small thing when Volcker, by all accounts our era's greatest central banker, condemns the non-system that Yellen defends.


Particularly because Volcker is no supporter of "Audit the Fed." He thinks the idea is "dangerous," he told me when I phoned him this week.

It may be that Yellen is sensing the ground is shifting in Congress.

In her testimony before the House this week, she disclosed that, after meeting with a number of liberal groups, she'd agreed to a meeting with conservative critics of the Fed.

That will take place Friday at the Fed, where she is likely to hear support (from the **American Principles Project**, among others) for a **Monetary Commission** to review the future of the Fed as it begins its second century.

She will hear conservatives concerned that American workers are hurting for jobs and gasping for wage gains six years into the **Great Recession** — a circumstance in which surely Congress should audit something.



ISIS executes eight Dutch members for desertion... bringing the total number of people executed in Syria alone by the group to 3967

**Eight Dutch ISIS fighters executed by the terrorist group for desertion
Arrested after 'tension between Dutch Jihadists and Iraqi ISIS spies'
ISIS has executed 424 of its own fighters in its 20 month reign in Syria**

ISIS has executed eight Dutch members of its own jihadist fighting force in Syria after accusing them of desertion and mutiny, activists say.

Another three Dutch jihadists were arrested with one of the detainees beaten to death during the interrogation, **Raqqah is Being Slaughtered Silently** reported.

In total, ISIS has executed more than 420 of its own fighters, for crimes such as attempting to defect, spying for foreign countries or fraternizing with the enemy.

"Daesh (IS) executed eight Dutch fighters on Friday in Maadan, Raqqah province, after accusing them of attempting desertion and mutiny," **Abu Mohammad**, a member of the citizen journalist group **Raqqah is Being Slaughtered Silently** (RBSS), said via Twitter.

Tension has boiled in Raqqah, the self-proclaimed 'capital' of ISIS, east of Aleppo, over the past month between 75 Dutch jihadists and IS intelligence operatives from Iraq, RBSS said.

ISIS leaders in Raqqah sent a delegate to solve the dispute with the Dutch cell's enraged members, but they murdered the intermediary in vengeance, the citizen journalist group added.

The ISIS leadership in Iraq then ordered the arrest of all the members of the Dutch group, and imprisoned them in Tabaga and Maadan in Syria.

Eight of the arrested Dutch fighters, some of whom are of Moroccan origin, have since been executed, RBSS said, a report which has not yet been confirmed.

Dutch secret services say that 200 people from the Netherlands, including 50 women, have joined IS in Syria and Iraq.

During its 20-month-long reign of terror, ISIS has executed 424 of its own members, a recent report by the Syrian Observatory for Human Rights says.

In February alone, ISIS executed 71 people in its controlled areas in Syria, and while a majority of those executed were rebel or government fighters, nearly half were civilians, including five women.

In total, ISIS has executed 3967 people since declaring its 'caliphate' in Syria on the 29th of June 2014.

This includes 2,142 Syrian civilians including 78 children and 121 women.

The 'crimes' cited by ISIS, seen by SOHR, included: providing false evidence, cooperating with the Kurds, apostasy, not doing repentance, working for the coalition, adultery, witchcraft and mocking the Koran.

Mosque Controversy Follows Trudeau

By Tarek Fatah of the Toronto Sun

13 August 2014



The controversy surrounding Liberal Leader Justin Trudeau's March, 2011 visit to a Montreal mosque that had been identified in a leaked U.S. Department of Defence report as an al-Qaeda recruitment centre, just won't go away.

After the Sun News Network broke the story, Liberal deputy leader **Ralph Goodale** said Trudeau had visited the **Al-Sunnah Al-Nabawiah mosque** in March, 2011 — a month before classified U.S. intelligence reports naming it were made public in April, 2011.

But Trudeau's defence he was not aware of the mosque's troubling background in March, 2011 falls apart on closer scrutiny.

It now turns out as early as 2006 the mosque in question was well known in Québec as a hotbed of Islamic extremism, and where the Imam and congregation was committed to jihad and hatred towards non-Muslims.

As **Marc Leblais** of the website *Pointe de bascule* revealed last week, CBC's Radio Canada had done a lengthy investigative report on the mosque Trudeau visited as a well known centre of radical anti-West rhetoric.

CBC hired an Algerian journalist, **Mohamed Sifaoui**, to infiltrate the mosque.

On Friday, 21 July 2006, Sifaoui went to Assuna mosque in Montréal to attend midday prayers.

He brought back video that was aired on CBC showing the imam praying to God to "kill all the enemies of Islam to the last."

The CBC documentary also revealed the mosque Trudeau would later visit had issued fatwas from a radical Saudi cleric asking for Muslims to kill any Muslim who does not practice the rituals of their faith (meaning someone like me).

One could have excused Trudeau had he said, "I didn't know," apologized and moved on.

But he did the opposite. He boasted about having attended the mosque which he himself identified as a "Wahhabi Mosque."

Does the Liberal leader know about the war within Islam that pits Saudi-funded, Muslim Brotherhood-promoted "Wahhabi" Islam against the Islam of other Muslims who wish to keep their religion out of politics?

If it turns out Trudeau does not know the difference between Wahhabi Islam and the Islam of say, Bangladesh Prime Minister Hasina Sheikh, or the Islam of former Indonesian president Abdul-Rahman Wahid, that is truly scary.

If the latter is true, Trudeau would be unfit to head a NATO country at war with the terrorist organization Islamic State (ISIS) and the network of Islamic terror ranging from soft Jihadis in Canada and the U.S.A to Boko Haram in Nigeria and Al-Shabab of Somalia, not to mention the Taliban, LeT and of course, al-Qaeda

Instead of backing down, Trudeau is now trying to play the victim card.

Speaking in front of an audience of Muslims Tuesday, Trudeau portrayed himself as the white knight in shining armour trying to protect Canada's Muslims.

He said: "Regardless of the attacks on me, be it for attending RIS [Revival of Islamic Spirit], or simply visiting a mosque in my riding, I want you to know that I will always stand up to the politics of division."

Division? Isn't it Trudeau who defends "division" when a Muslim audience is segregated by gender in a mosque?

Shame on you, Justin Trudeau, for not standing up for gender equality, for not keeping religion out of politics and for failing to clearly denounce the Islamofascism that seeks to destroy Canada.